Research Article Open Access

Journal of Modern Classical Physics & Quantum Neuroscience

ISSN: 3068-4196

DOI: doi.org/10.63721/25JPQN0121

The Application of Quantum Computing in Robotics

Haricharan Yarlagadda

Independent Researcher, USA

Citation: Haricharan Yarlagadda (2025) The Application of Quantum Computing in Robotics. J.of Mod Phy & Quant Neuroscience 1(3), 1-05.WMJ/JPQN-121

***Corresponding author:** Haricharan Yarlagadda, Independent Researcher, USA.

Submitted: 11.07.2025 **Accepted:** 18.08.2025 **Published:** 28.08.2025

Introduction

Robotics has achieved tremendous progress in recent years, leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) in conjunction with classical computing to facilitate high-level decision-making, real-time information processing, and autonomous control. Classical computing has some intrinsic limitations, particularly in computationally demanding issues such as optimization, sensor fusion, and real-time adaptive learning. Quantum computing (QC) is a highly promising paradigm that is accompanied by amazing computational power with the use of such concepts as superposition and entanglement. The application of QC in robotics can yield phenomenal improvement in efficiency in such areas as energy saving, real-time processing, and decision-making in complex scenarios. Despite the encouraging advantages, the application of quantum computing in robotics entails a set of issues. These encompass power consumption, hardware constraints, difficulty in error correction, and difficulty in integrating quantum processors with classical robotic systems. Quantum algorithms are promising but, in their infancy, and their extension to practical, real-world robotics is mostly theoretical. In addition, the need for large-scale quantum error correction techniques, along with present limits on qubit coherence times, is are serious obstacle to broad acceptance. This article discusses the feasibility of QC for robotics, taking power efficiency and quantum decoherence into account and weighing the challenges that must be surmounted to realize quantum-enabled robotics. It also mentions potential approaches to merge quantum and classical computing architectures, highlighting hybrid computational models that can mitigate current constraints.

A critical problem that comes along with the use of quantum computing in robotics is power usage. Although quantum computers are more efficient in resolving a certain issue compared to their classical counterparts, the physical implementation of quantum computers has an exceedingly large energy demand. Quantum processors currently function at extremely low temperatures, and this demands dilution refrigerators with extremely high power demands. These cooling demands make it challenging to implement quantum processors on mobile or autonomous robots, where there must be functioning within limited power budgets. Furthermore, superconducting quantum processors, among the most prevalent quantum computing architectures, depend on cryogenic cooling, which, aside from using energy, also contributes hardware complexity. Such a requirement of ultra-low temperature is in direct contrast with classical computing hardware that can be run in ambient environmental conditions at much lower energy requirements. For quantum processors to find their way into robot systems, they will need to be much more power-efficient and tolerant of a greater range of operating conditions. Furthermore, quantum error correction—required to preserve the coherence of quantum computations—adds another layer of power consumption. Error correction necessitates redundant qubits and more operations, which raise overall power demand. In this context, different from conventional robotic equipment, wherein the energy efficiency is one of the prime design considerations, normally realized through hardware and software optimization, then for quantum computing to become a reality in robotics, there has to be significant advancements in energy-efficient quantum hardware along with alternative cooling methods. Recent studies have been investigating the prospects of room-temperature quantum computing using materials like nitrogen-vacancy (NV) centers in diamonds and also topological qubits. If such developments persist, they can alleviate the extreme energy requirements of quantum processors, which will make quantum processors more amenable to robotics. Energy trade-offs of QC in robots, on the other hand, are a critical concern. Emerging methods like quantum dot-based processors and photonic quantum computing have also demonstrated potential in lessening the energy consumption of quantum devices. The viability of such other paradigms of quantum computing can dictate whether QC is a viable possibility for energy-efficient robotics. Hybrid computing strategies have also been suggested as one way through which the energy consumption limitation faced by quantum computing in robotics can be alleviated. An important advantage is in offloading computer-intensive functions on the quantum processor only, but keeping the normal functions to the classical processors, and thus facilitating immense savings in power consumption in overall requirements. Research on hybrid quantum-classical architectures illustrates that efficient assignment of tasks to the two categories of processors may facilitate the execution of robotic software under specified energy requirements. However, their combination is also a major issue that requires more research and technological development. Quantum decoherence is another major issue in the implementation of quantum computing in robotics.

Decoherence happens when qubits lose their quantum states due to interactions with the environment. In contrast to classical bits that preserve their state until deliberately altered, qubits are extremely prone to environmental interferences, including temperature fluctuations, electromagnetic interference, and material defects. This vulnerability from within poses significant challenges to the actual implementation of quantum computing in dynamic systems like robotics. These challenges are a significant hurdle in robotics, where the reliability of performance of quantum processors is required in environments that, in addition to being dynamic, are also likely to be unpredictable. The sensitivity of qubits compromises the reliability of quantum computations in practical use in robots, especially in applications involving real-time decision-making and interaction with complex environments. Quantum error correction methods that undo the action of decoherence must be invoked right away, but the procedure involved requires an additional qubit or qubits, thus increasing energy consumption and scalability problems. Quantum error correction methods so far depend on the exploitation of redundancy in such a manner that more than a single physical qubit is assigned to encode a single logical qubit, thus compounding the already stringent hardware available in the form of quantum processors. In addition, coupling quantum processors with traditional robotic control systems presents further complexity. Robotics inherently depends on deterministic algorithms and needs to have immediate feedback mechanisms, which contrasts directly with the usually probabilistic readouts produced by quantum computations. To overcome this incompatibility requires developing hybrid computational environments within which both quantum and classical processors need to work together. Nevertheless, quantum-classical integration techniques are currently in their infancy, and significant advancements are necessary to make transparent integration among these heterogeneous computing paradigms a reality. Current advances in quantum materials, for instance, topological qubits and error-tolerant superconducting qubits, hold hopeful answers to the issue of decoherence. Topological qubits, specifically, are engineered to possess inherent higher resilience to external perturbations and are thus a hopeful avenue toward fault-tolerant quantum computing applications. Also, some error correction codes, such as surface codes and cat codes, have been suggested to enhance the fault tolerance of quantum computations, but these also demand high hardware overhead. One solution that has been suggested is the creation of fault-tolerant quantum computing, which would involve real-time automatic error correction with no excessive redundancy. While research in this direction is being pursued, practical application is still a few years, if not decades, away from being viable for legitimate robotics applications. The reliability of quantum computing for robotics will continue to be a significant issue until quantum error correction techniques are discovered to be stable. However, with ongoing research on novel qubit design and better quantum error correction mechanisms, it is possible that next-generation quantum processors can surmount these issues, making it possible for their inclusion in robotic systems. Quantum computing holds much potential in revolutionizing the art and science of robotics; however, an inherent issue is the delicate process of developing quantum algorithms that are efficient at solving robotics-related issues. In addition, scalability is also an issue because the quantum computing research field is still in its infancy, and the majority of existing algorithms are tailored to theoretical models or special applications. The robotics field requires effective and scalable computational models with the ability to process huge volumes of information in real-time in order to enable better decision-making along with adaptability in dynamically changing environments. The primary task is the redesign of traditional robotic algorithms into quantum versions and exploiting the advantages of quantum computing, i.e., parallelism and the superposition effect, where a state can exist in more than one location simultaneously. Most of the existing quantum algorithms today are for general mathematical problems, cryptographic applications, and chemical computations, but not for robot control, optimization, or machine learning. Though quantum speedup for algorithms such as Shor's integer factorization and Grover's unstructured database search algorithms has been demonstrated, their application in the domain of robotics is limited in scope. Robotics applications such as path planning, real-time sensor fusion, and autonomous motion are issues that demand computational efficiencies outside the domain of classical systems, but the quantum solutions to such issues are a well-explored domain. One of the most significant fields of research in scholarship is quantum computing in solving optimization problems. Most robotics systems' operation relies on the resolution of optimization problems like the minimization of energy, optimization of motion trajectory, and real-time adaptation against environmental obstacles.

Quantum computing has been a great hope in speeding up solutions for combinatorial optimization problems with techniques like the QAOA and the VQE. While such techniques have been explored for application in areas like logistical optimization, network optimization, and materials science, their applications in decision making in robotics pose a monumental challenge. Quantum machine learning (QML) is another emerging field where quantum computing can play a very important role in robotics activity. Robot applications rely extensively on machine learning methods for perception, decision-making, and control tasks.

Yet the application of quantum computing to the area of machine learning is relatively in its infancy. Quantum support vector machines and quantum neural network boosting are promising methods to enhance learning efficiency and pattern recognition, but require significant parameter tuning before they can be applied in robotics. Additionally, the existing quantum hardware is limited in terms of the number of available qubits and coherence times that are essential for large-scale applications in neural networks, and it is challenging to apply them practically. Due to constraints in existing quantum algorithms, hybrid quantum-classical computing has emerged as a practical solution for the incorporation of quantum processing in robotics. The method does not demand exclusive use of dedicated quantum computers; instead, it suggests targeted provision of special support to certain functions in processors for the application of quantum computing while general-purpose operations are carried out via classical computing. Hybrid systems are particularly useful in robotics as they enable purposeful utilization of quantum computing to calculate elaborate problems without losing the real-time computation that is typical of classical systems. A key application of hybrid computing in robotics is probabilistic decision-making and reinforcement learning. Probabilistic models are utilized by the majority of robotic systems for traversing uncertain environments, sensor data estimation, and enhancing decision-making in the presence of uncertainty. These tasks are potentially enhanced by incorporating quantum computing through the acceleration of probabilistic inference, optimization of Markov decision processes, and reinforcement learning methods. Quantum-accelerated Monte Carlo simulations, for example, can provide faster and more accurate predictions in robot path planning and environmental mapping. Another hybrid approach is the integration of quantum computing with robotic swarm intelligence. Swarm robotics is the deployment of large numbers of low-complexity robots that cooperate to achieve high-level tasks, for example, search-and-rescue or distributed sensing. System control involves solving intricate optimization problems, which quantum computing may be able to exponentially speed up. Hybrid models can offer quantum-assisted swarm optimization and leverage classical computing for communications and control tasks, thus enabling more efficient large-scale robot deployments. Yet, realistic hybrid systems are confronted with numerous challenges in their application. One of the primary challenges is the communication bottleneck between quantum processors and classical processors. Quantum processors today need a vast amount of preprocessing and postprocessing to give an interface to classical systems, which causes latency and diminishes the prospective benefits of quantum speedups. Quantum-classical interfaces that are efficient need to be created to reduce such delays and enable direct coupling of quantum computing with robotics applications. Scalability is also a key concern in the use of quantum computing for robotics. Quantum computers are currently limited in terms of reliably sustaining qubits, with most quantum processors having an upper limit of only a few hundred qubits. In order for quantum computing to have major advantages in robotics, much larger quantum processors with thousands or millions of qubits have to be developed. One of the greatest hurdles to the extension of quantum computing is that methods of error correction are required. Quantum calculations are particularly prone to errors caused by decoherence and noise from the environment. Although several techniques of quantum error correction have been discovered, they need numerous physical qubits for encoding a single logical qubit. This necessitates a very high overhead, thereby decreasing the net computing capacity of quantum processors. Current estimates are that, to achieve a fault-tolerant quantum computer that can outperform classical supercomputers, it would take more than millions of physical qubits to be able to support even a few thousand logical qubits. The second significant challenge to scalability is the need for reliable quantum interconnects. Distributed architectures for classical computing enable large problems to be shared across numerous processors and hence enable efficient scalability. However, for quantum computing, distributing quantum information over numerous quantum processors would mean sustaining quantum entanglement among qubits, which becomes increasingly difficult over long distances. Thus, the creation of quantum communication networks and interconnects that can uphold entanglement on a large scale will turn out to be an imperative to the advancement of large-scale quantum robotic systems. In spite of these issues, current research is yielding progress that attempts to break the algorithmic complexity as well as the scalability problems plaguing quantum computing in robotics applications. Some of these promising directions of research are able to render more feasible implementations possible within the next few decades. One of these entails quantum-inspired algorithms, whereby principles of quantum mechanics are borrowed and applied to enhance classical algorithms. Even in the absence of fully developed quantum computers, quantum-inspired methods can bring computational advantages to robotics applications. For example, tensor networks applied in combination with quantum annealing methods have enabled the speeding up of optimization problems, hence bringing direct advantages to robotics applications. Another promising development is the creation of modular architectures in quantum computing. Rather than attempting to create large monolithic quantum processors, researchers are working on methods of coupling multiple small quantum processors in a common distributed architecture. This can potentially enable more scalable quantum computing systems by having each processor dedicated to a special task while the overall computational coherence is preserved. Furthermore, developments in quantum hardware, such as superconducting qubits, trapped-ion systems, and topological qubits, are progressively challenging the stability and scalability of quantum processors. New materials and fabrication techniques are being created for enhancing qubit coherence times, minimizing noise levels, and advancing error correction efficiency. These developments may render quantum computing progressively practicable for robot applications within the next several decades. Lastly, quantum software engineering is also advancing fast. New programming languages, compilers, and simulators for quantum algorithms are being researched. These software frameworks will play a key role in closing the gap between quantum hardware and robotic capability of practical value, allowing developers to interact with quantum computing without needing to learn much quantum mechanics. Algorithmic complexity and scalability are still paramount issues in the fusion of quantum computing and robotics. Creation of quantum algorithms that efficiently solve robotics problems, preserving correspondence between quantum and classical systems, and scaling up quantum processors to practical sizes is still a subject of investigation. Although current quantum computing technologies are not yet at the level needed to transform the robotics field, hybrid computing approaches, breakthroughs in quantum error correction, and breakthroughs in quantum hardware should make a real impact within a few years. Quantum-mechanics-based algorithms, as well as quantum-classical hybrid architectures, hold strong future possibilities for leveraging quantum concepts into robotics within a short timeframe to come. Looking ahead, the development of scalable quantum architectures incorporating fault-tolerant error correction and better quantum-classical interfaces will be required to unlock the full potential of quantum-enhanced robot systems. Ongoing collaboration and exchange between quantum computing experts and robotics researchers will be essential to overcome the challenges listed above and to achieve the revolutionary potential that quantum computing offers for autonomous systems [1-18].

References

1. Preskill J (2018) Quantum computing in the NISQ era and beyond. Quantum 2: 79.
2. Nielsen M A, Chuang I L (2010) Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press.
3. Montanaro A (2016) Quantum algorithms: An overview. npj Quantum Information, 2:15023.
4. Aaronson S (2013) Quantum Computing Since Democritus. Cambridge University Press.
5. Frank Arute, Kunal Arya, Ryan Babbush, Dave Bacon, Joseph C Bardin, et al. (2019) Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor. Nature 574: 505-510.
6. Harrow A W, Montanaro A (2017) Quantum computational supremacy. Nature, 549: 203-209.
7. Gambetta JM (2017) Building logical qubits in a superconducting quantum computer. Nature Physics 13: 146-150.
8. Kjaergaard M (2020) Superconducting qubits: Current state of play. Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 11: 369-395.
9. Zhang Y (2022). Scalable quantum error correction for practical quantum computing. Physical Review Letters 128: 030501.
10. Albash T, Lidar D A (2018) Adiabatic quantum computing. Reviews of Modern Physics 90: 015002.
11. Devitt S J, Munro W J, Nemoto K (2013) Quantum error correction for beginners. Reports on Progress in Physics, 76: 076001.
12. Bermejo-Vega J (2018) Architectures for quantum computing. Physical Review X 8: 021010.
13. Feynman R P (1982) Simulating physics with computers. International Journal of Theoretical Physics 21: 467-488.
14. Grover L K (1996) A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. Proceedings of the 28th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing.
15. Lloyd S (1996) Universal quantum simulators. Science 273: 1073-1078.
16. Bravyi S, et al. (2020) Advantages of quantum computing over classical computing for certain sampling problems. Science 369: 1084-1089.
17. Zhou L, et al. (2021) Quantum-enhanced machine learning in robotics. Nature Machine Intelligence 3: 506-516.
18. Biamonte J, et al. (2017) Quantum machine learning. Nature 549: 195-202.